

AO 120 (Rev. 3/04)

TO: **Mail Stop 8**
Director of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**REPORT ON THE
 FILING OR DETERMINATION OF AN
 ACTION REGARDING A PATENT OR
 TRADEMARK**

In Compliance with 35 U.S.C. § 290 and/or 15 U.S.C. § 1116 you are hereby advised that a court action has been
 filed in the U.S. District Court for C.D. of CA on the following ☒ Patents or ☐ Trademarks

DOCKET NO. 2:06-cv-06949-PSG		U.S. DISTRICT COURT Central District of California
PLAINTIFF CAM GUARD SYSTEMS, INC., a California corporation		DEFENDANT SMART SYSTEMS TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a California corporation
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.	DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK
1 U.S. Patent No. 7,059,783	June 13, 2006	Cam Guard Systems, Inc.
2 U.S. Patent No. 7,111,997	Sept. 26, 2006	Cam Guard Systems, Inc.
3		
4		
5		

In the above-entitled case, the following patent(s)/trademark(s) have been included:

DATE INCLUDED	INCLUDED BY	
	<input type="checkbox"/> Amendment <input type="checkbox"/> Answer <input type="checkbox"/> Cross Bill <input type="checkbox"/> Other Pleading	
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.	DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		

DOCKETED ON CM

NOV - 7 2006

BY  019

In the above-entitled case, the following decision has been rendered or judgment issued:

DECISION/JUDGEMENT

see attached decision/order/judgment

CLERK

TERRY NAFIST

(BY) DEPUTY CLERK



DATE

NOV 12 2008

Copy 1—Upon initiation of action, mail this copy to Director Copy 3—Upon termination of action, mail this copy to Director
 Copy 2—Upon filing document adding patent(s), mail this copy to Director Copy 4—Case file copy

AO-120

1 Steven J. Nataupsky (SBN 155913)
snataupsky@kmob.com
2 Joseph S. Cianfrani (SBN 196186)
jcianfrani@kmob.com
3 Curtis R. Huffmire (SBN 225069)
chuffmire@kmob.com
4 KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP
2040 Main Street
5 Fourteenth Floor
Irvine, CA 92614
6 Phone: (949) 760-0404
Facsimile: (949) 760-9502
7
8 Attorneys for Plaintiff
CAM GUARD SYSTEMS, INC.

E-FILED 11-10-08
JS-6

11 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
12 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
13 WESTERN DIVISION
14

15 CAM GUARD SYSTEMS, INC., a
California corporation,

16 Plaintiff,

17 v.

18 SMART SYSTEMS TECHNOLOGIES,
19 INC., a California corporation,

20 Defendant.
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

} Case No.
SACV07-1051 PSG (SSx)
(consolidated with
CV 06-6949 PSG (SSx))

} **[PROPOSED] FINAL
JUDGMENT**

} Trial: September 4, 2008
Time: 9:00 a.m.
Courtroom: 790

} Hon. Philip S. Gutierrez

1 On June 3, 2008, this Court found in favor of Cam Guard Systems, Inc.
2 ("Cam Guard"), finding that Smart Systems Technologies, Inc. ("SST") literally
3 infringed Claims 1-7, 9-14, 16-17, and 19-26 of U.S. Patent No. 7,059,783 ("the
4 '783 Patent"), Claims 1-5, 8, 13, and 14 of U.S. Patent No. 7,111,997 ("the '997
5 Patent"), and Claims 1 and 3-5 of U.S. Patent No. 7,267,496 ("the '496 Patent").
6 Additionally, this Court found as a matter of law that the '783, '997, and '496
7 Patents are valid and enforceable.

8 On September 4, 2008, a jury trial on the remaining issues of willfulness
9 and damages concerning the '783, '997, and '496 Patents came before this
10 Court. On September 16, 2008, the jury rendered its verdict awarding damages
11 to Cam Guard in the amount of \$183,000, and finding that SST had not willfully
12 infringed the '783, '997, and '496 Patents.

13 The issues having been duly tried and the jury having rendered its verdict,
14 THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS, ADJUDGES AND DECREES:

- 15 1. That U.S. Patent Nos. 7,059,783; 7,111,997; and 7,267,496, each
16 owned by Cam Guard, are valid and enforceable.
- 17 2. That SST's surveillance towers with the recorder in the secure base
18 enclosure, SST's modified surveillance tower with the recorder in
19 an enclosure on the pole, and SST's modified surveillance tower
20 with the recorder in a supervisor's trailer/unit infringe Claims 1-7,
21 9-14, 16-17 and 19-26 of the '783 patent.
- 22 3. That SST's surveillance towers with the recorder in the secure base
23 enclosure infringe Claims 1-5, 8, 13 and 14 of the '997 patent.
- 24 4. That SST's modified surveillance tower with the recorder in an
25 enclosure on the pole, and SST's modified surveillance tower with
26 the recorder in a supervisor's trailer/unit infringe Claims 1 and 3-5
27 of the '496 patent.

28 ///

- 1 5. That Cam Guard shall recover from SST damages in the amount of
- 2 \$183,000;
- 3 6. That Cam Guard shall recover its costs, prejudgment and post-
- 4 judgment interest and Cam Guard is to submit a brief on the
- 5 amount of interest to be added to the damages award no later than
- 6 October 20, 2008; and
- 7 7. That SST, together with its officers, agents, servants, employees
- 8 and attorneys, and any person in active concert or participation
- 9 with them having actual notice of this Order are hereby
- 10 permanently enjoined from making, using, offering to sell, rent, or
- 11 lease, or selling, renting, or leasing within the United States, its
- 12 territories and possessions, or by importing into the United States,
- 13 its territories and possessions, its current surveillance towers or
- 14 surveillance towers that meet all of the limitations of one or more
- 15 claims of U.S. Patent No. 7,267,496, U.S. Patent No. 7,059,783,
- 16 and U.S. Patent No. 7,111,997 during the unexpired terms of those
- 17 patents, without authorization or license from Cam Guard.
- 18

19 Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 58, the Court expressly
20 directs the Clerk to enter this Final Judgment as set forth above.

21
22 IT IS SO ORDERED.

23 Dated: 11/10/08



THE HON. PHILIP S. GUTIERREZ
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

24
25
26 5996201